## CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	680		
Source(s)	Airwars	Date Received	19 June 2017
Casualty Estimate	killed: 2-3		
Incident Date	17-19 Oct 2016		
Location	Al Rawda Al Muhamma (b)(1)1.4a	diyah Mosque, Mosul, Ni	neveh province, Iraq IVO
Allegation	1. Source #1:		10,
	October 19th 2016: Mosul, Nineveh province, Iraq Summary: Between two and three civilians were killed in a Coalition strike on the Al Rawda Al Muhammadiyah Mosque in the al-Muthanna area of Mosul city, according to local MP Abd AlRaheem Al Shamery and other sources. According to some reports, a kindergarten at the mosque was also destroyed. Given reported British actions in the area that day, Airwars flagged the incident to the UK's MoD which said "we can confirm that there was no UK involvement" in the event. Civilians reported killed: 2-3 Civilians reported injured: Unknown Sources: AqhabaKH tweet [Arabic] [Archived], Sambo1982 tweet [Arabic] [Archived], 2011iqbgd20161 tweet [Arabic] [Archived], Quality of Reporting: Weak – effectively single source.  2. Source #2: Description		
Analysis	a CCAR. If no, move to 2  2. Does the allegation incl a) video/photo evid	2.	of corroborating strike below and task
	AND c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? YES If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.  3. Does the allegation contain a		
	a) General Day, YES  AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? YES		
	If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.		

SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

## **SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY**

	<ul> <li>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5.</li> <li>4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c.</li> <li>4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation.</li> <li>5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b.</li> <li>5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.</li> <li>6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES</li> <li>7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation.</li> <li>CJFLCC RFI</li> </ul>	
Non-US Coalitic Involvement	None.	
Decision	Close at IA due to insufficient evidence to corroborate the allegation.  • CJFLCC searched for and found no corroborating strikes.	
Report Approved By:	(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)	

**SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY** 

Page 19 reducted for the following reason:
Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 4447