SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

REQUEST #5

CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	679			
Source(s)	Airwars	Date Received	19 June 2017	
Casualty Estimate	"Up to nine civilians were killed"			
Incident Date	18 – 19 October 2016			
Location	Mosul, IZ, IVO (b)(1)1.4a			
Allegation	1. Description of allegation:			
	October 18th-19th 2016: Mosul, Nineveh province, Iraq Summary: Up to nine civilians, including a woman and one or more children, were killed in overnight bombing in Mosul according to local reports.			
	Al Rafidain Network for Human Rights reported a bombing "killed or wounded innocent civilians, including women and children." Al Hathalyoum placed the death toll at			
	eight: "A security source and local residents from inside Mosul said international coalition			
	forces targeted in an airstrike Alqosjat and the Arab region, in the north of Mosul – killing at least eight civilians, including a woman and two children." Iraqi Spring Meda Centre put the death toll at nine, and said the dead woman's husband was also injured in the attack on the Shifa' and Asatetha neighbourhoods. Given reported British actions in the area that day, Airwars flagged the incident to the UK's MoD which said " we can confirm that there was no UK involvement" in the event. Civilians reported killed: 8-9, including 2 women and 1-2 children Civilians reported injured: 1 or more Sources: Al Rafidain Network for Human Rights [Arabic] [Archived], Al Hathalyoum			
		aqi Spring Media Centre [Arch		
	Quality of Reporting: this period.	Fair – the Coalition reported	a number of strikes in Mosul during	
Analysis	And	이 방법에 지금 방법 방법에 집안했다. 그는 것은 것을 얻는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수가 있다.	ils of corroborating strike below and	
	lask a CCAR. II no, iii	ove 10 2.		
	2. Does the allegation i	nclude		
Ť	· · ·	evidence of CIVCAS NO		
		ation, YES, Mosul		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ntify the date or a 48 hour date	range? YES, date range given: 18-19	
	Oct 2016 If yes to all three, move	e to 6. If any are no, then move	e to number 3.	

	3. Does the allegation contain a
	a) General Day, YES
	AND
	b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? NO
	If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.
	 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? NO If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation.
	5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? YES If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.
	 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? Partially. Unable to locate the Alqosjat area; however, the Arab region is assessed to be located IVO (b)(1)1.4a Sufficient information to identify potentially corroborating strikes in the Arab region. I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation.
Non-US Coalition Involvement	None
becision b	CJFLCC check for potentially corroborating strikes IVO the Al Arab region, as defined y the attached screan shot. If none found allegation will be closed at Initial Assessment. Conduct CCAR as appropriate for any corroborating strikes identified.
Report Approved By:	(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)

Page 8 redacted for the following reason: Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 5162