CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	1207				
Source(s)	Social Media Airwars	Date Received	11 AUG 17		
Casualty Estimate	killed: 2 injured: 25				
Incident Date	8 – 9 AUG 17				
Loc ation	Fatima Al-Zahraa Women's Hospital, in the center of the city, Mayadin, SY (neighborhoods of Jora)				
Allegation	1. Source #1: 20170809-U-SOCIAL MEDIA (ROLL-UP)				
	Reports of CFs airstrike that targeted a women clinic (Fatima Azzahraelinic in the city of Al Mayadin . The airstrike caused some civilian causalities and few others injured.)				
	 2. Is this in Airwars? YES August 8th 2017: (S1183) الميادين al Mayadin, Dcir Ezzor Summary: Two children were killed and 25 other civilians injured (mostly women and children) in airstrikes on the city of al Mayadeen, Deir Ezzor, local media reported. The offensive struck the Fatima Al-Zahraa Women's Hospital. It is not wholly clear at present who was responsible. On Jisirtv news they cited an A'amaq's agency report which blamed Moscow, and refered to the use of cluster bombs in the alleged strikes. In the same report they described the location of the alleged strikes to have been the 'vicinity of the National Hospital and the Center for the revival of the countryside'. Activists in a Syriahr news also allegde that 'warplanes, likely to be Russian' were responsible for the incident. A Tweet by @HadiAlabdallah held the US led Coalition responsible for the attacks, 				
	however at present all other sources point toward Russia, and 'warplanes' or 'clus' bombs' to have been involved. Civilians reported killed: 2 children Civilians reported injurcd: 25 – mostly women and children				
P	Sources: Youtube by Mohannad Dolabi [Arabic] [Archived], Jisirtv news [Arabic] [Archived], Tweet by @HadiAlabdallah [Arabic] [Archived], Tweet by @el_w11_T [Arabic] [Archived], Syriahr news [Arabic] [Archived], Smart News [Arabic] [Archived], Syrian Observatory for Human Rights [Arabic] [Archived], Facebook post by Euphrates [Arabic] [Archived], Facebook post by Euphrates (2) [Arabic] [Archived], Facebook post by Euphrates [Arabic] [Archived], Facebook post by Euphrates (2) [Arabic] [Archived], Facebook post by Euphrates (3) [Arabic] [Archived], Al Hassaka Rasd [Arabic] [Archived], Al Hassaka Rasd (2) [Arabic] [Archived], Radioalkul news [Arabic] [Archived] Quality of reporting:. Contested				

SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

 Analysis a CCAR. If no, move to 2, NO 2. Does the allegation include a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS? YES b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar, YES AND c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? YES If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4. a. Is the allegation from a single source (year if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source? If yes, move to 4.b. If nor move to 5. the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant <u>cCAR</u>, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If any then move to 4.c. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victime? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then each eallegation. 5. S.b. Are there at least two corroborting cource (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, elose the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make at assessment of redibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does the provide enough information to determine the date CCAR. If no, close the allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		1. Is this allegation a self-report? If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task		
 2. Does the allegation include a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS? YES b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar, YES AND c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? YES If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General-Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If nor move-to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warnet a CCAR, i.e., a way to sarrow down a casembilie int of strikes to ascess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. 4.e. Has media interviewed winderse and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close the allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location:	Analysis			
 a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS? YES b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; YES AND c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? YES If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General-Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 1a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. Of no, then move to 4.e. 4c. Has media interviewed withoses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. 5a. Are any of the source high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS? YES b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; YES AND c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? YES If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General-Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 1a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. Of no, then move to 4.e. 4c. Has media interviewed withoses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. 5a. Are any of the source high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		2. Does the allegation include		
AND c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? YES If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General-Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source?? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., away to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.c. Har media interviewed witnesses and/or vicinn? If yes, then contact journalist and re- assess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine an eighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location:				
 c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? VES If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant 6 CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 1.e. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re- assess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. S.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information to determine an eighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident cocurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; YES		
 If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources tack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5.t. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. 4.e. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victime? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are only of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation. 6. Joes the allegation. 6. Joes the allegation. 7. Are there any to there with in 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide sourced information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide sourced incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		AND		
 3. Does the allegation contain a a) General Day, AND b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the source lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warmant a CCAR; i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the allegation total strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close the allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it previde specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. 4.c. Has media interviewed withecses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, elose the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information and etails? If yes, move to 7. If no, close allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.		
 b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it previde specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, elose the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it previde specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. 4.c. Has media interviewed withecses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, elose the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information and etails? If yes, move to 7. If no, close allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		3. Does the allegation contain a		
 b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it previde specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c. 4.c. Has media interviewed withecses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, elose the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information and etails? If yes, move to 7. If no, close allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		a) General Day,		
 If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4. 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, lock at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it previde specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.e. Has media interviewed witnesces and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		h) Specific location a g MGPS or specific building?		
 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		o) specific location, e.g., works of specific building:		
 4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesces and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reasses. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.		
 the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 move to 5. 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it previde specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.e. Hae media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation. OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? If yes, move to 4.b. If no,		
 provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.e. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 hist of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.e. 4.e. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		가는 것은 것 같아요. 이번 것 같아요. 그 것 같아요. 이번 것 이		
 assess. If no, then close the allegation. 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		enconstruction of the second se		
 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		assess. If no, then close the allegation.		
 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		E. An and of the second with multiply. If was marine to f. If we marine to f. h		
 allegation, OR does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		그는 것 것 같은 것		
 If no, close the allegation. 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		이 같은 것 같아요. 수 있 것 같아요. 이 같이 나 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 않는		
 make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		in ne, close ine unegation.		
 make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to		
 date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 				
 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO 1. Assessed date of incident: 2. Location: 		landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes,		
task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO Assessed date of incident: Location: 		move to 7. If no, close the allegation. YES		
task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO Assessed date of incident: Location: 				
 Assessed date of incident: Location: 				
2. Location:		task CCAR. If no, close allegation. NO		
2. Location:		1 Assessed data of incident:		
		1. Assessed that of incluent.		
		2. Location:		
2 Correspondence strikes:				
5. Corroborating strikes:		3. Corroborating strikes:		
<u>IZ & SY</u>				
MAT, no strikes	ļ			

SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

SECRET//REL TO USA. FVEY

	CAOC log, no strikes CJTF kmz, no strikes CJTF log, no strikes <u>SY</u> TE 11 log, – no strikes	
Non-US Coaliti Involvement	on None.	0,
Decision	 Close at the IA due to insufficient evide There are no coalition strikes in 	ence to corroborate the allegation. or around Mayadin from 8 – 9 AUG 2017.
Report Approved By:	(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)	201
	Riched	

row

Page 385 redacted for the following reason: Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 5078

1025

20