CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

Allegation #	872			
Source(s)	Airwars	Date Received	26 AUG 17	
Casualty Estimate	Killed: 2 Injured: 5			
Incident Date	February 5th-6th 2017			
Location	al Tabaqa (b)(1)1.4a			
Allegation	Source #1: February 5th-6th 2017: خلينة al Tabaqa, Raqqa governorate, Syria Summary: Two civilians were reported killed and five injured in a night time strike on al Tabaqa. According to Smart News "A source native to the city said that unknown aircraft launched three raids, targeting the first and second neighbourhoods and the area near the National Hospital. A medical source at Al Tabaqa hospital reported the arrival of two dead civilians and five wounded, two of them in a serious condition." Civilians reported killed: 2 Civilians reported injured: 5 Sources: Smart News [Arabic] [Archived], Raqqa is Being Slaughtered Silently [Arabic] [Archived], Al Haall [Arabic] [Archived], Shaam News Network [Arabic] [Archived], Quality of reporting: Weak – presently single source			
Analysis	 Is this allegation a self-report? No If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2. Does the allegation include a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS? No b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; AND Yes c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? Yes If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3. Does the allegation contain a			

SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

- 4.b. Is the single source a high quality source (well-known/credible news source) **OR** does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR, i.e., a way to narrow down a reasonable list of strikes to assess. If yes, move to 6. Yes If no, then move to 4.e.
- 4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and reassess. If no, then close the allegation.
- 5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b. 5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, **OR** does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.
- 6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? Yes If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation.
- 7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. No If no, close allegation.
- 1. Assessed date of incident:
- 2. Location:
- 3. Corroborating strikes:

MGRS:

Strike Number:

DTG:

Target:

Dynamic/Deliberate:

Aircraft:

Call Sign:

Nationality:

Munitions:

Number dropped:

Target Number:

TEA:

IZ & SY MAT, 0 CJTF log, 0 CJTF kmz,0

CAOC log, 0

17

CJFLCC log, -email (Start 18 MAY 2017),

SY

SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

	To 1) log, -email (Start 22 JUL 2017),		
Non-US Coaliti Involvement	on N/A		
Decision	 No corroborating strikes were found in a comprehensive search between 5th-7th FEB 17 of the specified location. 		
Report Approved By:	(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)		

Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 4618