

## CIVCAS CELL INITIAL ASSESSMENT

<b>Allegation #</b>	726		
<b>Source(s)</b>	AIRWARS	<b>Date Received</b>	19 JUN 2017
<b>Casualty Estimate</b>	3 killed		
<b>Incident Date</b>	13 NOV 2016		
<b>Location</b>	Seada / Sa'dah village IVO		
<b>Allegation</b>	<p><b>November 13th 2016: Al Qaim, Anbar Province, Iraq</b>  Summary: Three civilians were killed by Coalition bombs in Seada village in western Anbar.  Several local outlets reported the deaths of three civilians from Coalition bombings. Al Qaim news named two victims as:  Mohammed Hamid Badawi Mahlawi  Zidane, identified as the son of Wadi Marsoumi  Civilians reported killed: 3  Civilians reported injured: unknown  Sources: News of Iraq [Arabic] [Archived], Al Qaim News [Arabic] [Archived], Al Qaim Al Hadath [Arabic] [Archived], Foresight Basira [Arabic] [Archived], Jihad Al SImany [Arabic] [Archived], Hader [Arabic] [Archived], Samarra TV [Arabic] [Archived]  <b>Quality of Reporting: Fair</b></p>		
<b>Analysis</b>	<p>1. Is this allegation a self-report? <b>NO</b> If yes, add details of corroborating strike below and task a CCAR. If no, move to 2.</p> <p>2. Does the allegation include  a) video/photo evidence of CIVCAS <b>NO</b>  b) a general location, e.g., Tabaqah, Manbij, Tel Afar; if in Mosul, or similarly sized city, must provide neighborhoods, e.g., Zanjili. <b>Seada (Sa'dah) IVO Al Qaim AND</b>  c) a way to identify the date or a 48 hour date range? <b>13 NOV 2016</b>  If yes to all three, move to 6. If any are no, then move to number 3.</p> <p>3. Does the allegation contain a  a) General Day, <b>YES</b>  AND  b) Specific location, e.g., MGRS or specific building? <b>NO, LAT LONG given is to known village center of Sa'dah</b></p> <p>If yes to the two, then move to 6. If any are no, move to number 4.</p>		

	<p>4.a. Is the allegation from a single source (even if there are multiple sources, look at whether the sources lack independence, i.e., all derived from one source)? <b>NO</b> If yes, move to 4.b. If no, move to 5.</p> <p>4.b. Is the single source a high quality source <b>OR</b> does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR. If yes, move to 6. If no, then move to 4.c.</p> <p>4.c. Has media interviewed witnesses and/or victims? If yes, then contact journalist and re-assess. If no, then close the allegation.</p> <p>5.a. Are any of the sources high quality? <b>YES</b> If yes, move to 6. If no, move to 5.b.</p> <p>5.b. Are there at least two corroborating sources (total of 3) that independently reported the allegation, <b>OR</b> does it provide specific facts that may warrant a CCAR? If yes, move to 6. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>6. Does the allegation contain sufficient information on the time, location and details to make an assessment of credibility? <b>YES</b> I.e., does it provide enough information to determine the date within 48 hour; does it provide enough information to determine a neighborhood, landmark, or other way to where the alleged incident occurred within a .5km radius? If yes, move to 7. If no, close the allegation.</p> <p>7. Are there any potentially corroborating strikes? If yes, answer the below questions and task CCAR. If no, close allegation. <b>No strikes IVO of the allegation between 11-13 NOV 2016. See "allegation726 13NOV16.ppt".</b></p> <p><b>1. Assessed date of incident:</b></p> <p><b>2. Location:</b></p> <p><b>3. Corroborating strikes:</b></p>
<b>Non-US Coalition Involvement</b>	
<b>Decision</b>	Close IA; insufficient evidence to corroborate the allegation.
<b>Report Approved By:</b>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">(b)(3) 10 USC 130b; (b)(6)</div>

Page 114 redacted for the following reason:  
-----  
Exhibits removed per agreement - Bates Number 3910

Approved for Release